APPENDIX B

49 U.S.C. § 1(3)(a)

§ 1, par. (3). **Definitions.** (a) The term "common carrier" as used in this chapter shall include all pipe-line companies; express companies; sleeping-car companies; and all persons, natural or artificial, engaged in such transportation as aforesaid as common carriers for hire. Wherever the word "carrier" is used in this chapter it shall be held to mean "common carrier." The term "railroad" as used in this chapter shall include all bridges, car floats, lighters, and ferries used by or operated in connection with any railroad, and also all the road in use by any common carrier operating a railroad, whether owned or operated under a contract, agreement, or lease, and also all switches, spurs, tracks, terminals, and terminal facilities of every kind used or necessary in the transportation of the persons or property designated herein, including all freight depots, yards, and grounds, used or necessary in the transportation or delivery of any such property. The term "transportation" as used in this chapter shall include locomotives, cars, and other vehicles, vessels, and all instrumentalities and facilities of shipment or carriage, irrespective of ownership or of any contract, express or implied, for the use thereof, and all services in connection with the receipt, delivery, elevation, and transfer in transit, ventilation, refrigeration or icing, storage, and handling of property transported. The term "person" as used in this chapter includes an individual, firm, copartnership, corporation, company, association, or joint-stock association; and includes a trustee, receiver, assignee, or personal representative thereof.

Appendix B

49 U.S.C. § 5(2)

§ 5, par. (2). Unifications, mergers, and acquisitions of control. (a) It shall be lawful, with the approval and authorization of the Commission, as provided in subdivision (b) of this paragraph—

(i) for two or more carriers to consolidate or merge their properties or franchises, or any part thereof, into one corporation for the ownership, management, and operation of the properties theretofore in separate ownership; or for any carrier, or two or more carriers jointly, to purchase, lease, or contract to operate the properties, or any part thereof, of another; or for any carrier, or two or more carriers jointly, to acquire control of another through ownership of its stock or otherwise; or for a person which is not a carrier to acquire control of two or more carriers through ownership of their stock or otherwise; or for a person which is not a carrier and which has control of one or more carriers to acquire control of another carrier through ownership of its stock or otherwise; or

(ii) for a carrier by railroad to acquire trackage rights over, or joint ownership in or joint use of, any railroad line or lines owned or operated by any other such carrier, and terminals incidental thereto.

(b) Whenever a transaction is proposed under subdivision (a) of this paragraph, the carrier or carriers or person seeking authority therefor shall present an application to the Commission, and thereupon the Commission shall notify the Governor of each State in which any part of the properties of the carriers involved in the proposed transaction is situated, and also such carriers and the applicant or applicants (and, in case carriers by motor vehicle are involved, the persons specified in section 305(e) of this title), and shall afford reasonable opportunity for interested

parties to be heard. If the Commission shall consider it necessary in order to determine whether the findings specified below may properly be made, it shall set said application for public hearing; and a public hearing shall be held in all cases where carriers by railroad are involved unless the Commission determines that a public hearing is not necessary in the public interest. If the Commission finds that, subject to such terms and conditions and such modifications as it shall find to be just and reasonable, the proposed transaction is within the scope of subdivision (a) of this paragraph and will be consistent with the public interest, it shall enter an order approving and authorizing such transaction, upon the terms and conditions, and with the modifications, so found to be just and reasonable: *Provided,* That if a carrier by railroad subject to this chapter, or any person which is controlled by such a carrier, or affiliated therewith within the meaning of paragraph (6) of this section, is an applicant in the case of any such proposed transaction involving a motor carrier, the Commission shall not enter such an order unless it finds that the transaction proposed will be consistent with the public interest and will enable such carrier to use service by motor vehicle to public advantage in its operations and will not unduly restrain competition.

(c) In passing upon any proposed transaction under the provisions of this paragraph, the Commission shall give weight to the following considerations, among others: (1) The effect of the proposed transaction upon adequate transportation service to the public; (2) the effect upon the public interest of the inclusion, or failure to include, other railroads in the territory involved in the proposed transaction; (3) the total fixed charges resulting from the proposed transaction; and (4) the interest of the carrier employees affected.

(d) The Commission shall have authority in the case of a proposed transaction under this paragraph involving a railroad or railroads, as a prerequisite to its approval of the proposed transaction, to require, upon equitable terms, the inclusion of another railroad or other railroads in the territory involved, upon petition by such railroad or railroads requesting such inclusion, and upon a finding that such inclusion is consistent with the public interest.

(e) No transaction which contemplates a guaranty or assumption of payment of dividends or of fixed charges, shall be approved by the Commission under this paragraph except upon a specific finding by the Commission that such guaranty or assumption is not inconsistent with the public interest. No transaction shall be approved under this paragraph which will result in an increase of total fixed charges, except upon a specific finding by the Commission that such increase would not be contrary to public interest.

(f) As a condition of its approval, under this paragraph, of any transaction involving a carrier or carriers by railroad subject to the provisions of this chapter, the Commission shall require a fair and equitable arrangement to protect the interests of the railroad employees affected. In its order of approval the Commission shall include terms and conditions providing that during the period of four years from the effective date of such order such transaction will not result in employees of the carrier or carriers by railroad affected by such order being in a worse position with respect to their employment, except that the protection afforded to any employee pursuant to this sentence shall not be required to continue for a longer period, following the effective date of such order, than the period during which such employee was in the employ of such carrier or carriers prior to the effective date of such order. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this chapter and chapters 8 and 12

of this title, an agreement pertaining to the protection of the interests of said employees may hereafter be entered into by any carrier or carriers by railroad and the duly authorized representative or representatives of its or their employees.

LIBRARY
SUPREME COURT U. S.

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
DEC 30 1965
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1965

No. 792

LOUISVILLE AND NASHVILLE RAILROAD COMPANY,
Appellant,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION, RAILWAY LABOR EXECUTIVES' ASSOCIATION, and ATLANTA EMPLOYEES PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION, *Appellees.*

On Appeal From the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky

MOTION OF APPELLEE RAILWAY LABOR EXECUTIVES' ASSOCIATION TO AFFIRM

EDWARD J. HICKEY, JR.
JAMES L. HIGHSAW, JR.
620 Tower Building
Washington, D. C. 20005
Counsel for Appellee Railway Labor Executives' Association

Of Counsel:

ROBERT E. HOGAN
1906 Kentucky Home Life Building
Louisville 2, Kentucky

MULHOLLAND, HICKEY & LYMAN
620 Tower Building
Washington, D. C.

December, 1965

PRESS OF BYRON S. ADAMS, WASHINGTON, D. C.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
STATEMENT	2
ARGUMENT	7
CONCLUSION	15

INDEX TO CITATIONS

CASES:

American National Bank and Trust Co. of Chicago v. *Taussig*, 255 F. 2d 765 (7th Cir., 1958) *cert. den.*, 358 U.S. 883 14

Brotherhood of Maintenance of Way Employes, et al. v. *United States*, 366 U.S. 169 (1961) 12

Burgess v. *Nail et al.*, 103 F. 2d 37 (10th Cir., 1939) .. 14

Callanan Road Improvement Co. v. *United States*, 345 U.S. 507 (1953) 13

City of Nashville et al. v. *United States et al.*, 155 F. Supp. 98 (1957) (D.C. M.D. Tenn. Nashville Div., 1957) aff'd *City of Nashville et al.* v. *United States et al.*, 355 U.S. 63 7

Department of Banking v. *Pink*, 317 U.S. 268 (1943), *reh. den.*, 318 U.S. 802 14

Federal Power Commission v. *Colorado Interstate Gas* Co., 348 U.S. 492 (1955) 13

Federal Power Commission v. *Idaho Power Co.*, 344 U.S. 17 (1952), *reh. den.*, 344 U.S. 910 14

Gilbertville Trucking Co. v. *United States*, 371 U.S. 115 (1962) 9

Louisville and Nashville Railroad Company, et al., Merger, Etc., (I.C.C. Finance Docket No. 18845) .. 2

McComb v. *Southern Weighing and Inspection Bureau*, 170 F. 2d 526 (4th Cir., 1948) 10

New Orleans Union Passenger Terminal Case, 282 I.C.C. 271 (1952) 3

New York v. *United States*, 331 U.S. 284 (1947), *reh. den.*, 331 U.S. 866 9

Page

Railway Labor Executives' Association v. *United States*, 216 F. Supp. 101 (D.C. E.D. Va., 1963) .. 11

Skowhegan Savings Bank v. *Securities and Exchange Commission*, 210 F. 2d 702 (D.C. Cir., 1952) 14

United States v. *Pacific Electric Ry Co.*, 157 F. 2d 902 (9th Cir., 1946) *cert. den.*, 330 U.S. 849 10

Walling v. *Western Weighing and Inspection Bureau*, 160 F. 2d 47 (7th Cir., 1946) 10

STATUTES:

Federal Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C.A., § 151 et seq.)
Section 222(f) 12

Interstate Commerce Act (49 U.S.C.A., § 1 et seq.)
Section 5(2) 2
Section 5(2)(f) 2, 3, 7, 10, 11, 12

Judicial Code (28 U.S.C.A., § 1251 et seq.)
Section 2284 2
Section 2325 2

Railway Labor Act (45 U.S.C.A., Section 151 et seq.) .. 3

REGULATIONS:

Code of Federal Regulations, Vol. 49
Section 1.01 4

MISCELLANEOUS:

Revised Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States
Rule 16 1

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1965

No. 792

LOUISVILLE AND NASHVILLE RAILROAD COMPANY,
Appellant,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION, RAILWAY LABOR EXECUTIVES' ASSOCIATION, and ATLANTA EMPLOYEES PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION, *Appellees.*

On Appeal From the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky

MOTION OF APPELLEE RAILWAY LABOR EXECUTIVES' ASSOCIATION TO AFFIRM

The Railway Labor Executives' Association (Association), one of the appellees in the above-entitled case, respectfully moves this Court, pursuant to Rule 16 of the Court's Revised Rules, to affirm the final judgment and decree of the District Court on the ground that the questions presented by the appellant Louisville and Nashville Railroad Company (L&N) are so insubstantial as not to warrant further argument.